

Editorial: BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations screening in sporadic breast cancer patients in Kazakhstan

Rachel C. Jankowitz<sup>1</sup>, Kelly Z. Knickelbein<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, UPMC Magee Women's Cancer Program; <sup>2</sup>UPMC Center for Medical Genetics

Vol. 2, No. 1 (2013) | ISSN 2166-7403 (Online) DOI 10.5195/cajgh.2013.41 | http://cajgh.pitt.edu

(CC) BY-NC-ND

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 United States License.



This site is published by the <u>University Library System</u> of the <u>University of Pittsburgh</u> as part of its <u>D</u>-<u>Scribe Digital Publishing Program</u> and is cosponsored by the <u>University of Pittsburgh Press</u>.

# Editorial: BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations screening in sporadic breast cancer patients in Kazakhstan

# Rachel C. Jankowitz<sup>1</sup>, Kelly Z. Knickelbein<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, UPMC Magee Women's Cancer Program; <sup>2</sup>UPMC Center for Medical Genetics

### Editorial

While the majority of breast cancer cases are sporadic, up to 30% have been described as familial. Hereditary breast cancer, accounting for approximately 10% of breast cancer cases, has classically been associated with highly penetrant gene mutations that are highly likely to cause cancer, vertical transmission, autosomal dominant inheritance pattern, association with other cancers, and early age of onset.<sup>1-5</sup> In contrast, these characteristics are often not exhibited in familial breast cancers occur more in an individual family than in the general population, they are thought to be due to a complex interaction between lower penetrance genes and environmental factors and/or random intra-familial sporadic cancer cases.<sup>3-5</sup>

In 1994, inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes that encode for tumor suppressor proteins were linked to hereditary breast and ovarian cancer.<sup>6,7</sup> Since this groundbreaking scientific discovery, much has been learned about genetic risk of breast cancer (such as newer detection techniques with improved sensitivity to detect BRCA1 and BRCA2 large genomic

rearrangements);<sup>8</sup> however, many BRCA-related questions remain unanswered. The prevalence of known deleterious BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations is approximately 1 in 400 individuals.<sup>9</sup> Due to variable penetrance, the probability of cancer development varies amongst BRCA mutation carriers, (even amongst carriers in families with the same mutation),<sup>10</sup> but in general, BRCA deleterious mutations confer a 45-84% lifetime risk for breast cancer.<sup>11</sup> It is, therefore, not surprising that known deleterious BRCA mutations are variable across different ethnic and geographic populations. Moreover, founder mutations have been identified within Ashkenzi Jewish, Icelandic, and other populations.

When discussing BRCA1 and BRCA2 sequence variants, the terminology can be difficult to discern. Variations that confer increased cancer risk are termed "deleterious mutations," but a number of other changes in BRCA1 and BRCA2 are rapidly emerging, the significance of which are less clear. It is important, therefore, to use consistent language when discussing such findings. Mutations and polymorphisms are both sequence variants. The term "mutation" signifies any rare deviation in the DNA sequence of a gene from the normal wild type. Polymorphisms, on the other hand, are common variations in DNA that are generally considered to occur with a frequency greater than 1%.<sup>12</sup> If a polymorphism is known to be disease-causing, then it will typically be referred to as a deleterious mutation, although most, but not all, deleterious mutations are seen at a lower frequency in the population. Polymorphisms include, but are not limited to: single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions, deletions, or repeated sequences. SNPs are the most common type of polymorphism accounting for approximately 90% of all human genetic variation.<sup>13</sup> Most SNPs are benign or neutral polymorphisms with no known clinical impact;

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial -No Derivative Works 3.0 United States License.



This journal is published by the <u>University Library System</u> of the <u>University of Pittsburgh</u> as part of its <u>D-Scribe Digital Publishing Program</u>, and is cosponsored by the <u>University of Pittsburgh Press</u>.

#### Central Asian Journal of Global Health

Volume 2, No. 1 (2013) | ISSN 2166-7403 (online) | DOI 10.5195/cajgh.2013.41 | http://cajgh.pitt.edu

however, it is thought that some may provide useful clinical information, such as likelihood of developing disease, response to disease, and perhaps implications for treatment.<sup>14</sup>

Polymorphisms and mutations can be further classified as synonymous or non-synonymous based on their impact on the resulting amino acid. A synonymous substitution results in a codon that does not lead to a change in the coded amino acid, whereas nonsynonymous substitutions, such as missense mutations, do result in an amino acid change. Lastly, variants of uncertain significance (VUS) are novel DNA changes with unknown effects on protein function and disease risk. Pending further investigation, an identified VUS could be reclassified as a deleterious mutation or a polymorphism of no clinical significance in the future.<sup>15</sup>

In the current publication, Akilzhanova and colleagues examine the role of BRCA1 and 2 mutations in Kazakhstan women with sporadic breast cancer. They studied genomic DNA from 156 sporadic breast cancer cases (defined as women without family history of affected first- or second- degree relatives with breast and/or ovarian cancer) and 112 controls (matched on age and ethnicity) from two different areas in Kazakhstan. Ultimately, mutational screening of BRCA1 and BRCA2 coding regions for these patients and controls identified 22 distinct variants (16 missense mutations of unknown clinical significance and 6 polymorphisms). No deleterious BRCA1 or 2 mutations were identified. There were significantly more variants in the Caucasians versus the Asian breast cancer cases and more variants in the Asian versus the Caucasian controls. They also found a number of women (79 of 156, 71%) who carried 4-6 alterations. This makes these alterations in the BRCA sequence much less likely to be deleterious, particularly if they were the same sequence variants that they "likely termed neutral polymorphisms," (those that were expressed at a high frequency in both cases and controls).

Models incorporating variables from cancer history of patients as well as multiple other factors into logistic regression analyses potentially have the ability to segregate uncertain BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants into deleterious and non-deleterious categories.<sup>16-22</sup> These models work best when there are enough occurrences of a single variant amongst unrelated families to help aid in its classification. We are still limited in finding ways to classify variants that occur rarely in a specific region.<sup>23</sup>

Several resources exist for obtaining information regarding previously identified VUS and SNPs including: the SNP Consortium,<sup>24</sup> the dbSNP database from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), the Breast Cancer Information Core (BIC) (http://research.nhgri.nih.gov/bic/), and HGVbase (Human Genome Variation Database), which is a human gene-based polymorphism database. Due to the difficulty in screening the literature in order to determine whether a specific variant of uncertain significance has been classified, reporting variants to such large comprehensive databases of BRCA1 and 2 genes is critically important. Additionally, there is an ENIGMA consortium that has been established to evaluate the significance of uncertain variants in highrisk breast cancer genes. The success of this group can only be achieved by collecting genetic and clinical information, functional assays, and mRNA expression and splicing assays.<sup>23</sup>

Via computational approaches, functional assay data has been shown to correlate well with pathogenicity of BRCA1 variants of uncertain significance.<sup>25</sup> A guide for functional analysis of BRCA1 variants of uncertain significance has recently been published.<sup>26</sup> Additionally, a five-tiered classification scheme for DNA sequence variants and correlation of clinical recommendation with probability that any given alteration is deleterious has been developed based on the posterior probability model,

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial -No Derivative Works 3.0 United States License.



This journal is published by the <u>University Library System</u> of the <u>University of Pittsburgh</u> as part of its <u>D-Scribe Digital Publishing Program</u>, and is cosponsored by the <u>University of Pittsburgh Press</u>.

#### Central Asian Journal of Global Health

with Class 1 and 2 being not likely pathogenic, class 3 remaining a true VUS, and Class 4 and 5 considered pathogenic/deleterious for clinical purposes.<sup>27,28</sup> As an example, the authors of the current publication found a BRCA1 amino acid variant of Pro871Leu, which is listed as Class 1, likely not pathogenic,<sup>28</sup> which is consistent with the fact that it was found in about half of their total population, both in cases and controls.

In conclusion, despite major advances in our BRCA testing, in many instances we are still in a very elementary stage in applying such information clinically toward the care of patients. It is only through collaboration that the rapidly developing field of molecular genetics will lead to advances in patient care.

#### References

1. Lynch HT, *et al*. Clinical/genetic features in hereditary breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 1990; 15(2):63-71.

2. Pharoah PD, *et al*. Family history and the risk of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer. 1997; 71(5):800-9.

3. Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian. NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2012. 2012.

4. Foulkes WD. Inherited susceptibility to common cancers. N Engl J Med. 2008; 359(20):2143-53.

5. Pharoah PD, *et al.* Polygenic susceptibility to breast cancer and implications for prevention. Nat Genet. 2002; 31(1):33-6.

6. Miki Y, *et al*. A strong candidate for the breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1. Science. 1994; 266(5182):66-71.

7. Wooster R, *et al.* Localization of a breast cancer susceptibility gene, BRCA2, to chromosome 13q12-13. Science. 1994; 265(5181):2088-90.

8. Woodward AM, *et al.* Large genomic rearrangements of both BRCA2 and BRCA1 are a feature of the inherited breast/ovarian cancer phenotype in selected families. J Med Genet. 2005; 42(5):e31.

9. Shannon KM, Chittenden A. Genetic testing by cancer site: breast. Cancer J. 18(4):310-9.

10. Levy-Lahad E, *et al.* Founder BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in Ashkenazi Jews in Israel: frequency and differential penetrance in ovarian cancer and in breast-ovarian cancer families. Am J Hum Genet. 1997; 60(5):1059-67.

11. King MC, Marks JH, Mandell JB. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. Science. 2003; 302(5645):643-6.

12. Brookes AJ. The essence of SNPs. Gene. 1999; 234(2):177-86.

13. Collins KJ. Physiological variation and adaptability in human populations. Ann Hum Biol. 1999; 26(1):19-38.

14. Bentley DR. The Human Genome Project--an overview. Med Res Rev. 2000; 20(3):189-96.

15. Lindor NM, *et al*. A review of a multifactorial probabilitybased model for classification of BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants of uncertain significance (VUS). Hum Mutat. 2012; 33(1):8-21.

16. Chenevix-Trench G, *et al.* Genetic and histopathologic evaluation of BRCA1 and BRCA2 DNA sequence variants of unknown clinical significance. Cancer Res. 2006; 66(4):2019-27.

17. Easton DF, *et al*. A systematic genetic assessment of 1,433 sequence variants of unknown clinical significance in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 breast cancer-predisposition genes. Am J Hum Genet. 2007; 81(5):873-83.

18. Goldgar DE, *et al.* Integrated evaluation of DNA sequence variants of unknown clinical significance: application to BRCA1 and BRCA2. Am J Hum Genet. 2004; 75(4):535-44.

19. Gomez Garcia EB, *et al*. A method to assess the clinical significance of unclassified variants in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes based on cancer family history. Breast Cancer Res. 2009; 11(1):R8.

20. Spurdle AB, *et al.* Clinical classification of BRCA1 and BRCA2 DNA sequence variants: the value of cytokeratin profiles and evolutionary analysis--a report from the kConFab Investigators. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26(10):1657-63.

21. Whiley PJ, *et al.* Splicing and multifactorial analysis of intronic BRCA1 and BRCA2 sequence variants identifies clinically significant splicing aberrations up to 12 nucleotides from the intron/exon boundary. Hum Mutat. 32(6):678-87.

22. Tavtigian SV, *et al.* Classification of rare missense substitutions, using risk surfaces, with genetic- and molecular-epidemiology applications. Hum Mutat. 2008; 29(11):1342-54.

23. Spurdle AB, et al. ENIGMA--evidence-based network for the interpretation of germline mutant alleles: an international

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial -No Derivative Works 3.0 United States License.



This journal is published by the <u>University Library System</u> of the <u>University of Pittsburgh</u> as part of its <u>D-Scribe Digital Publishing Program</u>, and is cosponsored by the <u>University of Pittsburgh Press</u>.

#### Central Asian Journal of Global Health

initiative to evaluate risk and clinical significance associated with sequence variation in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes. Hum Mutat. 33(1):2-7.

24. Thorisson GA, Stein LD. The SNP Consortium website: past, present and future. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003; 31(1):124-7.

25. Iversen Jr ES, *et al*. A computational method to classify variants of uncertain significance using functional assay data with application to BRCA1. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 20(6):1078-88.

26. Millot GA, *et al*. A guide for functional analysis of BRCA1 variants of uncertain significance. Hum Mutat.

27. Plon SE, *et al.* Sequence variant classification and reporting: recommendations for improving the interpretation of cancer susceptibility genetic test results. Hum Mutat. 2008; 29(11):1282-91.

28. Lindor NM, *et al*. A review of a multifactorial probabilitybased model for classification of BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants of uncertain significance (VUS). Hum Mutat. 33(1):8-21.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial -No Derivative Works 3.0 United States License.

This journal is published by the <u>University Library System</u> of the <u>University of Pittsburgh</u> as part of its <u>D-Scribe Digital Publishing Program</u>, and is cosponsored by the <u>University of Pittsburgh Press</u>.

## Central Asian Journal of Global Health Volume 2, No. 1 (2013) | ISSN 2166-7403 (online) | DOI 10.5195/cajgh.2013.41 | http://cajgh.pitt.edu